



## CITY OF ATLANTA

Keisha Lance Bottoms  
Mayor

SUITE 1900  
55 TRINITY AVENUE, SW  
ATLANTA, GA 30303  
(404) 330-6204 Fax: (404) 658-7705  
Internet Home Page: [www.atlantaga.gov](http://www.atlantaga.gov)

DEPARTMENT OF PROCUREMENT  
Martin H. Clarke  
Interim Chief Procurement Officer

June 23, 2020


Dear Potential Bidders:

**Re: IFB-C 1200476 Upper Proctor Creek Capacity Relief Project in Historic Vince City, Phase C**

Attached is one (1) copy of **Addendum Number 4**, which is hereby made a part of the above-referenced project.

For additional information, please contact Mrs. Jalisa Simpson, Contract Specialist, by email at [jsimpson@atlantaga.gov](mailto:jsimpson@atlantaga.gov).

Sincerely,

DocuSigned by:  
  
E14114FE1107482...  
Martin H. Clarke

MHK/jas

**IFB-C 1200476 Upper Proctor Creek Capacity Relief Project  
in Historic Vince City, Phase C  
Addendum No. 4  
June 23, 2020  
Page 2**

**ADDENDUM NO. 4**

This Addendum No. 4 forms a part of the Invitation for Bid (“IFB”) and modifies the original solicitation package as noted below and is issued to incorporate the following:

1. **Attachment No. 3**: Responses to 31 Questions
2. **Revision**: Statement of Bidder Qualifications has been revised as of 6/18/2020

**The Bid due date has NOT been modified from Wednesday, July 1, 2020 and should be time stamped into the system no later than 2:00 P.M. EST**

**\*\*All other pertinent information is to remain unchanged\*\***

Attachment No. 3  
Responses to 31 Questions

## IFB-C 1200476 Questions – Phase C

1. The bid schedule does not factor in demo for the curb, asphalt, and sidewalk along with the restoration after for the proposed sewer laterals, water service liens, and relocation of existing water meters. How should these items be paid?

**Answer:** Any saw cutting of asphalt pavement excavation, removal and disposal of asphalt or concrete pavements and excavated material, trench foundation, backfill, or cleanup associated with the reconnection of the service lateral to the existing combined sewer, water service lines and relocation of existing water meters shall be considered incidental to this work.

Restoration associated with the work shall be paid by the restoration bid items no. 83-89 and 109-110

2. The entire street will be full depth demo where there is proposed water line and storm running along side of each other. Where is this cost captured?

**Answer:** See Section 1200, Measurement and Payment, Paragraph B, Items 24 to 25; 8" – 12" Push on Joint Ductile Iron Water Main and Items 50 to 64, Furnish and Install Reinforced Concrete Pipe Class III, 18" – 42" Diameter

3. Plans do not define size of water services lines that will need to be reconnected. Please clarify.

**Answer:** Contractor to replace water services with the same diameter water service removed or transferred. Payment shall be made for the actual size replaced from bid items 31-38.

4. Sec 02538-4 3.3 states if the existing line clay pipe we are to use clay tee or wyes. Can we use Furnco instead?

**Answer:** Yes, Contractor may use Fernco Coupling that meets the requirements of Section 02650 – Testing for Acceptance of Sanitary and Storm Sewer.

5. Can we bore Northside Dr instead of open cut?

**Answer:** The Northside Drive crossing is designed as an open cut installation; however Contractor may use trenchless method to install the Northside Drive crossing at no additional cost to the City. It is the Contractor's responsibility to locate and protect all existing utilities, obtain all necessary permits from Georgia Department of Transportation.

6. Section 02650 – Testing for Acceptance of Sanitary and Storm Sewers only mentions low pressure air/joint testing in regards to Sanitary Sewers. Please confirm this specification.

**Answer:** Section 02650 applies acceptance testing for storm sewer as well.

7. How should sewer laterals be tested since we are tying into a live sewer line and homes?

**Answer:** Contractor to test pipes and laterals using any of the methods listed in Section 02650-1.04.B and approved by the Engineer.

8. Specification 026115 states that contaminated soil that is above 5 mg/L will need to be stabilized. If it still is above 5 mg/L it will need to be stabilized again. If it then still above 5 mg/L it will then need to be hauled off as hazardous material to a hazardous material landfill. There is only one line item set up for this work. As it is now, the contractor will need to assume all of the material will require two rounds of stabilization and then hauling to a hazardous material landfill since the risk of all of the cost associated with these steps is placed on the contractor and there is no separate payment to stabilize or haul to a hazardous landfill. This is going to greatly and unnecessarily increase the cost to the City. Can unit rates be provided for stabilization and hazardous haul be provided to reduce the overall project cost?

**Answer:** No. Payment for all work associated with the contaminated material will be made from Bid Item 9 – Contaminated Material Removal. No additional payment will be made outside of Bid Item 9. Therefore, the contractor will need to submit pricing based on previous experience in rendering characteristic wastes non-hazardous.

9. Can an allowance be set up for permitting since it will be paid to the City and it will be based on true cost? Currently it is set up so the City would reap any savings but the contractor is at risk of overages which is unequitable.

**Answer:** No. Contractor to include all permit cost in Bid Item 2

10. Will the PIO need to be onsite full time?

**Answer:** Yes.

11. If the Engineer is going to direct a the contractor to replace unsuitable backfill material with coarse aggregate at no additional cost to the owner this means the contractor will have to

assume all backfill is unsuitable or else take-on the risk of unsuitable material. In order to reduce the overall project cost can a line-item be provided for course aggregate backfill?

Answer: No.

12. If all trench backfill in paved areas is to be GAB does this mean all spoil will need to be disposed of after testing regardless of whether it is clean soil?

Answer: Yes

13. Since all of the trench excavated material is to be tested prior to haul-off and/or reuse, does this mean the trenches are to be considered contaminated and HAZWOPER certified crews will need to do the excavation and the work in the trenches?

Answer: The Contractor shall use HAZPOWER certified crews or specialty sub-contractors in trenches where characteristically hazardous material has been encountered. This requirement does not apply in trenches where no characteristically hazardous material exists.

14. Will temporary asphalt be required on concrete trench caps until the final surface is applied?

Answer: Not on concrete cap so long as the concrete cap is flush with the top of the existing asphalt.

15. Can 1 person fulfill more than 1 key personnel role?

Answer: No.

16. Can personnel assigned to Phase B also have the same position on Phase C?

Answer: No.

17. Is there a way we can have a list of the plan holders for the above solicitation that is bidding on July 1, 2020?

Answer: There is currently no plan holders list.

18. Statement of Bidder Qualifications has been revised p. 1 – 4 (6/1/2020) still refers to using the provided forms – are we to use the forms in the Original Statement of Bidder Qualifications – footer will say Phase B. Or are they planning on providing new forms?

Answer: See updated Bidder's Qualifications Forms

19. Statement of Bidder Qualifications 5a – are we missing a company experience form? Should we create our own?

Answer: See updated Bidder's Qualifications Forms

20. Does the City plan on both phase b and c to start at the same time?

Answer: Yes. The City intends to start the construction of both Phase B and C at the same time.

21. I know It's too late to ask questions. But in downloading the project information addendum number two states a new line item bid for has been issued with the addendum, but I do not see a revised form. Please advise.

Answer: Corrected Bid Tab for Phase C was issued with Addendum No. 3

22. This project calls for quite a bit of it and we are looking to quote to the GCs that are bidding this as a prime. I wanted to see if there was a plan holders list or pre bid sign in sheet available somewhere. I also wanted to confirm that the bid date on this one has been moved back to 7/1. Any help would be greatly appreciated.

Answer: See response to Question 17 and the Addenda documents.

23. I was hoping to get an updated planholders (bidders) list for the projects listed above. Also, were any addenda issued (on either or)?

Answer: see response to Question 22.

24. Lastly, is there a cost range or estimate you can release?

Answer: No.

25. Do you anticipate extending the bid due date?

Answer: No.

26. What additional details are you willing to provide, if any, beyond what is stated in bid documents concerning how you will identify the winning bid?

Answer: None.

27. Was this bid posted to the nationwide free bid notification website at [www.MyGovWatch.com/free](http://www.MyGovWatch.com/free)?

Answer: No

28. Other than your own website, where was this bid posted?

Answer: GA State Procurement Registry.

29. Will you provide a plan holders list?

Answer: See response to Question 17.

30. What is the engineers estimate for the project?

Answer: Engineer's estimate is not available.

31. I know It's too late to ask questions. But in downloading the project information addendum number two states a new line item bid for has been issued with the addendum, but I do not see a revised form. Please advise.

Answer: Corrected Bid Tab for Phase C was issued with Addendum No. 3